



Hyperbolic conservation laws with stochastic jump coefficient Joint work with Andrea Barth NumHyp 2019 June 17, 2019





Lukas Brencher

Motivation - subsurface flows

Description of time-dependent subsurface flows might suffer from:

- Insufficient measurement
- Uncertain permeability

 \Rightarrow Random coefficient



©Anne Burgess - geograph.org.uk/p/821493 - (cc-by-sa/2.0)



©Okinawa Soba - https://www.flickr.com - (cc-by-nc-sa/2.0)

Medium might contain:

- Fractures
- Heterogenities
 - ⇒ Random discontinuities are incorporated







Problem description

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space. Consider the scalar hyperbolic conservation law with unknown $u := u(\omega, x, t)$:

 $\begin{aligned} u_t + (a(\omega, x)f(u))_x &= 0 & \forall (x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} := \mathbb{R} \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) & \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$

with

- $a: \Omega \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ being a (possibly time-dependent) stochastic jump coefficient,
- $u_0: \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ being a (possibly stochastic) initial condition.







Jump coefficient

We consider a stochastic jump coefficient of the following structure:

$$a(\omega, x) := \overline{a}(x) + \phi(W(\omega, x)) + P(\omega, x) ,$$

where

- $\overline{a} \in C(\mathcal{D}; \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ is a deterministic mean function.
- $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_{>0})$. In our case: $\phi(w) = \exp(w)$.
- H := L²(D) and W ∈ L²(Ω; H) is a (zero-mean) Gaussian random field associated to a non-negative, symmetric trace class (covariance) operator Q : H → H.









Jump coefficient

We consider a stochastic jump coefficient of the following structure:

$$a(\omega, x) := \overline{a}(x) + \phi(W(\omega, x)) + P(\omega, x) ,$$

where

- $\mathcal{T}: \Omega \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}), \ \omega \mapsto \{\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{\tau}\}$ is a random partition of \mathcal{D} , i.e., the \mathcal{T}_i are disjoint open subsets of \mathcal{D} with $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\tau} \overline{\mathcal{T}_i}$. The number of elements in \mathcal{T} is a random variable $\tau: \Omega \to \mathbb{N}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.
- (P_i, i ∈ N) is a sequence of random variables on (Ω, A, P) with arbitrary non-negative distribution(s), which is independent of τ (but not necessarily i.i.d.). Further we have

SimTech-

$$P: \Omega \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad (\omega, x) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(x) P_i(\omega)$$



Samples of different jump coefficients









Approximation of the jump coefficient

In most cases, the coefficient $a(\omega, x)$ needs to be approximated:

• The Gaussian field W admits the Karhunen-Loève (KL) expansion

$$W(\omega, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\eta_i} e_i(x) Z_i(\omega) , \qquad Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

where $((\eta_i, e_i), i \in \mathbb{N})$ are the (ordered) eigenpairs of the covariance operator Q with $\eta_i \ge 0$ and $e_i \in H$.

- Approximation: truncate the series after the first $N \in \mathbb{N}$ terms.
- Note: The number of terms *N* needed for approximation depends on the decay of the eigenvalues. Therefore, it can vary significantly for different covariance operators.







Numerical example in 1D - Burgers' equation

Stochastic Burgers' equation

$$u_t + \left(a(\omega, x)\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x = 0 \qquad \qquad \forall (x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}} := (0, 1)^2$$
$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) = 0.3\sin(\pi x) \qquad \forall x \in (0, 1)$$

Stochastic jump coefficient $a(\omega, x)$

• Partition \mathcal{T} is generated by $\tau \sim Poi(5)$ jumps with positions $x_i \sim \mathcal{U}((0,1))$

• Jump heights
$$P_i \sim \mathcal{U}\left(\left[\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^i, \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^i\right]\right) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{U}(\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]), & i \text{ odd} \\ \mathcal{U}(\left[\frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{4}\right]), & i \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

• Squared exponential Gaussian field W sampled via truncated KL expansion







Spatial discretization:

- Finite Volume discretization with maximum spatial mesh size $\Delta x > 0$.
- spatial mesh is adapted to the jump positions, i.e., at each jump position is a cell interface
- equidistant discretization between two jumps \rightarrow piecewise equidistant mesh









Spatial discretization:

- Finite Volume discretization with maximum spatial mesh size $\Delta x > 0$.
- spatial mesh is adapted to the jump positions, i.e., at each jump position is a cell interface
- equidistant discretization between two jumps \rightarrow piecewise equidistant mesh









Spatial discretization:

- Finite Volume discretization with maximum spatial mesh size $\Delta x > 0$.
- spatial mesh is **adapted** to the jump positions, i.e., at each jump position is a cell interface
- equidistant discretization between two jumps \rightarrow piecewise equidistant mesh









Spatial discretization:

- Finite Volume discretization with maximum spatial mesh size $\Delta x > 0$.
- spatial mesh is adapted to the jump positions, i.e., at each jump position is a cell interface
- equidistant discretization between two jumps \rightarrow piecewise equidistant mesh



Temporal discretization:

- Equidistant time discretization $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^M \subset \mathbb{T}$ with time step size $\Delta t > 0$
- Backward Euler scheme







Numerical flux

Grid points not corresponding to jump positions

• Numerical flux: $a(\omega, x)g(u, v)$, where g(u, v) is the Godunov flux:

$$g(u,v) = \begin{cases} \min_{w \in [v,u]} f(w), & v \le u \\ \max_{w \in [u,v]} f(w), & v \ge u \end{cases} = \max\{f(\max(u,0)), f(\min(v,0))\}$$

• simplification possible due to $f(u) = \frac{u^2}{2}$

Grid points at jump positions

• Numerical flux: Godunov interface flux:

 $g(u, v, a^{-}(x), a^{+}(x)) = \max\{a^{-}(x)f(\max(u, 0)), a^{+}(x)f(\min(v, 0))\}$

• Here, $a^{-}(x)$ and $a^{+}(x)$ denote the left and right limit of a(x), respectively.







Numerical results

All errors are aligned in the L^1 norm: $\varepsilon \simeq \Delta x \simeq \Delta t$.



SimTech

Solution of the Burgers' equation with underlying random jump coefficient.

Convergence of the finite volume discretization.





Conclusion & Consequences

Conclusion

- We introduced a random jump coefficient to a scalar conservation law.
- For pathwise convergence an adaptive discretization was introduced.
 - ⇒ Each sample has its own discretization

Consequences

- Problem: Estimation of solution via (multilevel) Monte Carlo needs (nested) equivalent grids.
- Solution: Introduce finer *reference grid*, on which every sample is projected. This enables estimation via standard Monte Carlo for samples.













Lukas Brencher Institute of Applied Analysis and Numerical Simulation (IANS) University of Stuttgart, Germany

Iukas.brencher@ians.uni-stuttgart.de+49-711-685-60953

References

- Adimurthi, Jaffré, J. & Gowda, G. V. (2004). Godunov-type methods for conservation laws with a flux function discontinuous in space. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, *42*(1), 179–208.
- Andreianov, B. & Cancès, C. (2012). The godunov scheme for scalar conservation laws with discontinuous bell-shaped flux functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, *25*(11), 1844–1848.
- Barth, A. & Stein, A. (2018). A study of elliptic partial differential equations with jump diffusion coefficients. SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification, 6(4), 1707–1743.
- Barth, A. & Stein, A. (2019a). A multilevel monte carlo algorithm for parabolic advection-diffusion problems with discontinuous coefficients. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.02129*.
- Barth, A. & Stein, A. (2019b). Numerical analysis for time-dependent advection-diffusion problems with random discontinuous coefficients. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.02130*.
- Bürger, R., García, A., Karlsen, K. H. & Towers, J. D. (2008). Difference schemes, entropy solutions, and speedup impulse for an inhomogeneous kinematic traffic flow model. *Networks and heterogeneous media*, *3*(1), 1.
- Giles, M. B. (2008). Multilevel monte carlo path simulation. Operations Research, 56(3), 607–617.
- LeVeque, R. J. (1992). Numerical methods for conservation laws. Springer.
- Towers, J. D. (2000). Convergence of a difference scheme for conservation laws with a discontinuous flux. *SIAM journal on numerical analysis*, *38*(2), 681–698.





